1	5	ΓΗΕ HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN	
1 2			
3			
3			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES D WESTERN DISTRICT		
8	MARK HOFFMAN, on behalf of himself and all		
9	others similarly situated,	NO. 3:19-cv-05960-MJP	
10	Plaintiff,	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT—	
11	VS.	CLASS ACTION	
12	HEARING HELP EXPRESS, INC.,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
13	TRIANGULAR MEDIA CORP., LEADCREATIONS.COM, LLC and LEWIS		
14	LURIE,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17			
18			
19	Mark Hoffman, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, alleges the		
20	following against Defendant Hearing Help Express, Inc., Leadcreations.com, LLC, Triangular		
21	Media Corp., and Lewis Lurie.		
22	I. NATURE O	F ACTION	
23	1. From at least May through September 2019, Mark Hoffman received		
24	telemarketing calls on his cellular phone placed by or on behalf of Hearing Help Express, Inc.		
25	seeking to sell their hearing aid products to him.		
26			
27			
	GEGOND AMENDED GONDLAND. GLAGGA GENON	TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300 South Weshington 98103 8860	

## B. Defendants Used an ATDS.

- 29. During at least the June 13, 2019 call, Triangular Media, Leadcreations.com, or a call center they retained, called Plaintiff's cellular phone using an ATDS. Plaintiff noted a pause before being connected to the call, which is characteristic of a call placed by an ATDS.
- 30. Furthermore, Plaintiff called back the telephone number that called him during all of the calls, Caller ID (855) 255-8148, and received a message that stated, "The number you have dialed is not in service." This is also indicative that an ATDS is used, as the call was made using a "spoofed" (made up) Caller ID number.
- 31. During the September 4, 2019 call, Hearing Help Express, Inc. called Plaintiff's cellular phone using an ATDS. Plaintiff noted a pause before being connected to the call, which is characteristic of a call placed by an ATDS.
- 32. Hearing Help Express, Inc. is a division of IntriCon, with operations in the United States, Asia and Europe. The scale of Hearing Help Express, Inc.'s business requires that it and its agents use a sophisticated dialing system capable of storing phone numbers and dialing them automatically, as well as delivering messages without requiring the involvement of human agents.
- 33. The equipment used to call Plaintiff and others not only had the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called using a random or sequential number generator, but was programmed to sequentially or randomly access stored telephone numbers to automatically call such numbers for the calls that are the subject of this case. The equipment generated, and then stored, a sequence of telephone numbers for calling, and then automatically called those numbers. The calls were part of a campaign that made numerous phone calls in a short period of time without human intervention.
- 34. In August of 2019, a former employee left the following review of working at Hearing Health Express, Inc. on the website GlassDoor, entitled "Boiler room telemarketing for hearing aid sales":

The outbound sales operation is run like a boiler room. Cheap leads are loaded into a dialer.... About 98% did not ask for information on hearing aids, so you spend most of your day wasting your time talking to people who don't even have hearing

See https://www.glassdoor.com/Reviews/Hearing-Help-Express-Reviews-E2608089.htm (Last

## Hearing Help is Vicariously Liable for Triangular Media and Leadcreation.com's

- Hearing Help hired Leadcreations.com and Triangular Media to originate new
- Hearing Help accepted the benefits of Leadcreations.com and Triangular Media's illegal telemarketing by accepting leads called illegally and then attempting to sell
- Hearing Help also had absolute control over whether, and under what
- Hearing Help knew (or reasonably should have known) that Leadcreations.com and Triangular Media were violating the TCPA on its behalf and failed to take effective steps within its power to force Triangular to cease that conduct.
- In fact, Leadcreations.com and Triangular Media have previously settled

## Defendants' TCPA violations injured Plaintiff.

- During the relevant period, Plaintiff has carried his cellular phone with him at most times so that he can be available to family and friends.
- Defendants' calls invaded Plaintiff's privacy and intruded upon his right to seclusion. The calls frustrated and upset Plaintiff by interrupting his daily life and wasting his
- Defendants' calls intruded upon and occupied the capacity of Plaintiff's cellular phone and depleted the battery of Plaintiff's cellular phone. The calls temporarily seized and

trespassed upon Plaintiff's use of his cellular phone, and caused him to divert attention away 1 from other activities to address the calls. 2 V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS 3 4 43. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rules 23(a), 5 (b)(2), and (b)(3) as a representative of the following classes: 6 Class 1: All persons or entities within the United States who received, on 8 or after October 9, 2015, a non-emergency telephone call from or on behalf of Leadcreations.com or Triangular Media Corp promoting goods or services: 10 (i) to a cellular telephone number through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice; or 11 (ii) to a cellular or residential telephone number that has been 12 registered on the national Do Not Call Registry for at least 31 days 13 and who received more than one such call within any twelvemonth period. 14 Class 2: 15 All persons or entities within the United States who received, on 16 or after October 9, 2015, a non-emergency telephone call from or 17 on behalf of Hearing Help Express, Inc., promoting goods or services: 18 (i) to a cellular telephone number through the use of an automatic 19 telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice; or 20 (ii) to a cellular or residential telephone number that has been registered on the national Do Not Call Registry for at least 31 days 21 and who received more than one such call within any twelve-22 month period. 23 Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the class definition following an appropriate period of 24 discovery. 25 26 27

1	44.	Excluded from the Classes are Defendants, their employees, agents and assigns,
2	and any mem	abers of the judiciary to whom this case is assigned, their respective court staff, and
3	Plaintiff's co	unsel.
4	45.	Because auto-dialing equipment maintains records of each contact, members of
5	the above-de	fined Classes can be identified through Defendants' or their agents' records.
6		Numerosity
7	46.	At the time of filing, Plaintiff does not know the exact number members of
8	Classes. But	the breadth of Hearing Help Express, Inc. operations indicates that Class Members
9	likely numbe	r in the hundreds or thousands, and are geographically disbursed throughout the
10	country.	
11	47.	The alleged size and geographic dispersal of the Classes makes joinder of all
12	Class Membe	ers impracticable.
13		<b>Commonality and Predominance</b>
14	48.	Common questions of law and fact exist with regard to each of the claims and
15	predominate	over questions affecting only individual Class members. Questions common to the
16	Class include	:: ::
17		a. Whether Hearing Help's dialing system(s) constitute an ATDS under the
18	TCPA;	
19		b. Whether Leadcreations.com or Triangular Media's dialing system(s), or
20	the dialing sy	vstem(s) of call centers they retained, constitute an ATDS under the TCPA;
21		c. Whether Hearing Help used an ATDS to place non-emergency calls to
22	the cellular to	elephones of Plaintiff and Class members without their prior express written
23	consent;	
24		a. Whether Leadcreations.com or Triangular Media used an ATDS to place
25	non-emergen	cy calls to the cellular telephones of Plaintiff and Class members without their
26	prior express	written consent;
27		

1		b.	Whether Defendants placed calls to numbers on the National Do Not
2	Call Registry	;	
3		c.	Whether Defendants' telephone calls were made knowingly or willfully;
4		d.	Whether Hearing Help is vicariously liable for the conduct of
5	Leadcreations	s.com o	r Triangular Media Corp.
6		e.	Whether Leadcreations.com is vicariously liable for the conduct of call
7	centers it reta	ined;	
8		f.	Whether Triangular Media is vicariously liable of the conduct of call
9	centers it reta	ined;	
10		g.	Whether Plaintiff and Class members were injured by receiving such
11	calls; and		
12		h.	Whether Defendants should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct
13	in the future.		
14			<b>Typicality</b>
15	49.	Plaint	iff's claims are typical of the claims of the Classes, in that Plaintiff, like all
16	Class Membe	ers, has	been injured by Defendants' uniform misconduct—the placement of calls
17	to telephones for non-emergency purposes without the prior written express consent of the		
18	called parties	•	
19			Adequacy of Representation
20	50.	Plaint	iff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Classes and is
21	committed to	the vig	orous prosecution of this action. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced
22	in class action	n litigati	ion and matters involving TCPA violations.
23			<b>Superiority</b>
24	51.	A clas	ss action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient
25	adjudication of	of this c	controversy. Because the amount of each individual claim is small relative
26	to the comple	exity of	the litigation, and because of Defendants' financial resources, Class
27			
			TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC

1	members are unlikely to pursue legal redress individually for the violations detailed in this
2	complaint. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendants to comply with federal law.
3	Individualized litigation would significantly increase the delay and expense to all parties and to
4	the Court and would create the potential for inconsistent and contradictory rulings. By contrast,
5	a class action presents fewer management difficulties, allows claims to be heard which would
6	otherwise go unheard because of the expense of bringing individual lawsuits, and provides the
7	benefits of adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.
8	VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
9	Violation of § 227(b)(1) for calls made using
10	an ATDS or artificial/prerecorded voice
11	52. Defendants violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1) by placing non-emergency calls,
12	either directly or through the actions of others, using an automatic telephone dialing system or
13	an artificial or prerecorded voice to cellular telephone numbers without the prior express
14	written consent of the called party.
15	VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
16 17	Violation of § 227(c) for calls placed to numbers listed on the Do Not Call Registry
18	53. Defendants violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(c) by placing, either directly or through the
19	actions of others, more than one telephone solicitation call within a 12-month period to
20	telephone numbers that have been listed on the national Do Not Call Registry for at least 31
21	days.
22	VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF
23	
24	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class defined above,
25	respectfully requests that this Court:
26 27	
27	

1	A.	Determine that the claims alleged herein may be maintained as a class action
2	under Federal	Rule of Civil Procedure 23, and issue an order certifying the Class defined above
3	and appointin	g Plaintiff as the Class representative;
4	В.	Award \$500 in statutory damages for each and every call that Defendants
5	negligently pl	aced in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1) of the TCPA;
6	C.	Award \$1,500 in statutory damages for each and every call that Defendants
7	willfully or ki	nowingly placed in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(5) of the TCPA;
8	D.	Grant appropriate injunctive and declaratory relief, including, without limitation,
9	an order requi	iring Defendants to implement measures to stop future violations of the TCPA;
10	and	
11	E.	Grant such further relief as the Court deems proper.
12		IX. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
13	Plaint	iff hereby demands a trial by jury.
14	RESP	ECTFULLY SUBMITTED AND DATED this 11th day of September, 2020.
15		TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC
16		
17		By: /s/ Adrienne D. McEntee, WSBA #34061
18		Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759 Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com
19		Jennifer Rust Murray, WSBA #36983 Email: jmurray@terrellmarshall.com
20		Adrienne D. McEntee, WSBA #34061 Email: amcentee@terrellmarshall.com
21		Benjamin M. Drachler, WSBA #51021
22		Email: bdrachler@terrellmarshall.com 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300
23		Seattle, Washington 98103-8869 Telephone: (206) 816-6603
24		Telephone. (200) 010 0003
25		
26		
27		

## Case 3:19-cv-05960-MJP Document 72 Filed 09/11/20 Page 12 of 14

1	Anthony I. Paronich, <i>Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i> Email: anthony@paronichlaw.com
2	PARONICH LAW, P.C. 350 Lincoln Street, Suite 2400
3	Hingham, Massachusetts 02043
4	Telephone: (617) 485-0018 Facsimile: (508) 318-8100
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class
6	Miorneys for Fiantiff and the Proposed Class
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	I, Adrienne D. McEntee, hereby certify that on September 11, 2020, I electronically
3	filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send
4	notification of such filing to the following:
5	David E. Crowe, WSBA #43529
6	Email: dcrowe@vkclaw.com VAN KAMPEN & CROWE PLLC
7	1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4050
8	Seattle, Washington 98154 Telephone: (206) 386-7353
9	Facsimile: (206) 405-2825
10	Ana Tagvoryan, Admitted Pro Hac Vice
11	Email: atagvoryan@blankrome.com Nicole Bartz Metral, <i>Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i>
12	Email: nbmetral@blankrome.com BLANK ROME LLP
13	2029 Century Park East, 6th Floor Los Angeles, California 90067
14	Telephone: (424) 239-3400
15	Facsimile: (424) 239-3434
16	Jeffrey Rosenthal, <i>Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i> Email: rosenthal-j@blankrome.com
17	BLANK ROME LLP
18	130 North 18th Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
19	Telephone: (215) 569-5500 Facsimile: (215) 569-5555
20	
21	Attorneys for Defendant Hearing Help Express, Inc.
22	Carl J. Marquardt Email: carl@cjmpllc.com
	LAW OFFICE OF CARL J. MARQUARDT, PLLC 1126 34th Avenue, Suite 311
23	Seattle, Washington 98122-5137
24	Telephone: (206) 388-4498
25	
26	
27	

1	Edward Maldonado, <i>Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i> Email: eam@maldonado-group.com
2	Email: awclerk@maldonado-group.com
3	MALDONADO LAW GROUP 2850 S. Douglas Road, Suite 303
	Coral Gables, Florida 33134
4	Telephone: (305) 477-7580
5	Attorneys for Defendant Lewis Lurie
6	DATED this 11th day of September, 2020.
7	TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC
8	
9	By: <u>/s/ Adrienne D. McEntee, WSBA #34061</u> Adrienne D. McEntee, WSBA #34061
10	Email: amcentee@terrellmarshall.com
11	936 North 34th Street, Suite 300 Seattle, Washington 98103
11	Telephone: (206) 816-6603
12	Facsimile: (206) 319-5450
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	